



Pju. pk. 2023.01(01)

<https://www.pju.com.pk/index.php/pju/in>

Pakistan Journal of UROLOGY



PAKISTAN

ISSN: 3005-7582 (Online)

ISSN: 3005-7574 (Print)

Vol-01-Issue-02-2023- July-Dec-2023

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Pak J Urology 2023;
2 (1): 56-59

Problems with Adults Following Ultrasound-Guided Percutaneous Nephrostomy. A retrospective study.

Waqas¹, Akhtar Nawaz² Siddique Akbar³, Sardar Alam⁴Ikram Anzanda⁵

1. Consultant Urology and renal transplant IKD Peshawar
2. Assistant Professor Urology and Renal Transplant IKD Peshawar
3. Resident Urology and renal transplant IKD Peshawar
4. Resident Urology and renal transplant IKD Peshawar
5. Assistant prof department of nephrology lrh Peshawar

Corresponding author: Akhtar Nawaz

Email: nawaz157@gmail.com

Cell no : +92 333 9382176

Article History

Article History

Received: sep-12,2023

Accepted: october-20,2023

Revised: Dec-26,2023

Available Online:-10-01-2024

Abstract:

Background: Obstructive uropathy, which represents 10% of acute renal failure, calls for effective remedies. Percutaneous nephrostomy (PCN) is increasingly used in the treatment of obstructions in the renal collecting system. This Institute of Kidney Diseases study from the city of Peshawar in Pakistan now remedies a lack of knowledge in adult PCN guided by ultrasonography.

Objectives: With this retrospective survey we wanted to know which patients are suitable candidates for PCN; what is the success rate; and how often complications arise using PCN that is guided by the use of ultrasound technology.

Study design : A retrospective study.

Palace and duration of study. Department of urology IKD Peshawar from January 5, 2022 to December 31, 2023

Methods: We did a retrospective analysis in the Institute of Kidney Diseases, Peshawar, of those persons who had ultrasound- guided PCN for obstructive uropathy from January 5, 2022 to December 31, 2023. Data were from the Department of Urology registrations and HIS Records. Subjects must be over 16 years old. Exclusion criteria were incomplete records, pregnancy, and non-obstructive uropathy indications: those things should not have made it into the sample!IBM SPSS was used to do data analysis.

Results: There were 851 patients included in the study; the average age was 38.50 ± 14.78 years. Successful PCN installs were achieved in 96.1% of cases, 67 patients had to go through multiple trials. Complications occurred in 18.9% of cases, mostly mild (17.6%), occasionally severe (1%) headaches. The most common complications were macroscopic hematuria (6.9%), PCN dislodgment (1.9%), and block the tube (1.8%). Statistical analysis showed associations between complications and demographic factors, such as age, gender, number of attempts.

Conclusion: Ultrasound-guided percutaneous nephrostomy (PCN) is safe and effective in the treatment of obstructive uropathy. These findings contribute to improving treatment protocols and procedural guidelines at the Institute of Kidney Diseases, Peshawar, with urinary stones as the main etiology.

Keywords: Percutaneous Nephrostomy, Complications, Obstructive Uropathy

Authors Contribution

AN. Concept & Design of Study ZO Drafting WA. Data Analysis SA,IA
Critically Review, W, AN Final version

Citations: ., W., Nawaz, A., Akbar, S., Alam, S., & Anzanda, I. (2024). Problems with Adults Following Ultrasound-Guided Percutaneous Nephrostomy. A retrospective study: Original Article . Pakistan Journal of Urology (PJU), 1(02), 56-59. <https://doi.org/10.69885/pju.v1i02.39>

INTRODUCTION

In 10% of acute renal failure cases and in 4% of chronic end-stage renal failure cases, obstructive uropathy - characterized by structural obstructions to urine flow - is responsible¹. Potential causes in the adult include iatrogenic benign stricture, cancer, and urinary stones². Percutaneous nephrostomy (PCN), which Dr. Willard Goodwin introduced in 1955, produces an effective method for decompressing obstructed renal collecting systems³. This minimally invasive procedure involves inserting a tube through the skin and into the renal collecting system in order to drain the affected kidney's urine⁴. PCN can be done with ultrasound or fluoroscopy guidance. Generally fluoroscopy-guided PCN works out better as well as being less of a hassle. It is the therapy of choice for people with obstructive uropathy. Eighty percent to 90% of patients who need PCN treatment eventually get their azotemic symptoms (i.e., high levels of nitrogen in the blood) reversed within one and a half weeks post-procedure⁵. PCN does more than just drain urine. It also makes it easy for you to do tests, widens out the tube that is clogged, and helps you to have endourologic operations made simpler⁶. The treatment's technical success varies according to clinical factors, with success rates ranging from 96% to 100% for blocked dilated systems, 82% to 96% for nondilated collecting systems, and 82% to 85% for complicated stone disease. However, although it is generally safe, PCN does harbor some risks: the substantial rates of complications reported oscillate between 0.1% and 10%⁷. In Pakistan, the Institute of Kidney Diseases (IKD) in Peshawar serves as a leading facility for urological patients. Research conducted at IKD found common adverse events after PCN included urinary tract infections (35%), macrohematuria (21.4%), sepsis (13%), and catheter dislodgement (17%)⁸. Butoldest One of 497 participants was a man (58.5%), 353 (41.5 %) was a woman. Most (67.7 %) of the 852 patches had ages ranging from 16 to 45, while the proportion of those 46 and there is still a dearth of understanding about the nature and extent of problems arising from PCN. In this report, we aim to narrow this gap by reviewing complications related to ultrasound-guided PCN in persons with obstructive uropathy. The purpose of these measures is to improve patient care and clinical outcomes eventually⁹.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The Institute of Kidney Diseases in Peshawar, Pakistan, carried out a retrospective investigation. The HIS data and registrations of the Department of Urology were obtained for patients who received Ultrasound-guided Percutaneous Nephrostomy (PCN) between January 5, 2022, And December 31, 2023, after consent from the Institutional Ethical & Review Board. Patient demographics, PCN indications, and procedure-related problems were the main

subjects of the research. All patients who had percutaneous nephrostomy (PCN) for obstructive uropathy and were more than 16 years old met the inclusion criteria. Patients without full medical records, expectant mothers, and those with PCN for conditions other than obstructive uropathy met the exclusion criteria. IBM SPSS for Windows version 28 was used for data analysis after data was gathered using a self-made proforma. Age-related mean and standard deviation were computed. The frequency and percentage of each factor—gender, blockage reason, and complications—were computed. The correlation between complications and the variables of gender, age, and a number of tries were examined using the Chi-square test. Statistical significance was defined as a p-value of 0.05 or less¹³.

Data collection

The information collection involved using data from the Health Information System (HIS) and the Department of Urology registers which were kept in the Institute of Kidney Diseases in Peshawar. In what clocked in as the period under review, thoroughgoing records on patient demographics, PCN symptoms, and headaches for all 12 months was collected statistically.

Statistical Analysis

Statistical analysis was performed on IBM SPSS for Windows version 28 software. The mean, standard deviation, frequency and proportion were calculated. The chi-square test method was used to analyze the relationship between complications versus gender, number of attempts and age. Significance levels were still being developed for this test: 0.05 or less is regarded as significant in general practice;; but that's just one possible sign criterion for literature purposes..

RESULTS

Our study included 851 patients with mean age 38.50 ± 14.78 years. The youngest patient in this trial was years old and the above was almost equal in number to those below Eight hundred fourteen patients were subject to unilateral or bilateral percutaneous needle drainage of the urinary stones and 37 were treated in both bot Light department performed 839 percutaneous nephrostomies during the eight-year period: this was first doubt against our figures from the two volume hospital which only did 265 in six months. If we find that "338" is an overestimate of the half-symbiotic bypasses then perhaps summary estimates may not be as accurate as we should like them to be teach indications for PCN by authors: renal and ureteric Calculi in 575 patients (67.6%), Urinary system malignment in 203 (23.9%), Pyonephrosis of 30 individuals 3.5%, contrast-stricture in 27 (3.2), lagna iatrogenically-induced Ureteric Injury 6 Only (8%), Emphy – pulverized kidney of 3% (05 cases). Ureteric Ligation: two cases (0.3%)

Table 1: Patient Demographics

Characteristic	Value
Total Patients	851
Mean Age (years)	38.50 ± 14.78
Male	497 (58.5%)
Female	353 (41.5%)
Age Group	
- 16-45 years	576 (67.7%)
- 46 and above	275 (32.3%)

Table 2: Indications for PCN

Indication	Number of Patients	Percentage
Urinary Stones	575	67.6%
Malignancy	203	23.9%
Pyonephrosis	30	3.5%
Stricture	27	3.2%
Iatrogenic Ureteric Injury	8	0.9%
Emphysematous Pyelonephritis	5	0.6%
Ureteric Ligation	2	0.3%

Table 3: Procedure type

Procedure Type	Number of Patients
Unilateral PCN	814
Bilateral PCN	37
Total Procedures	851

Table 4: finding in Complications and percentage

Complication	Number of Patients	Percentage
Macroscopic Hematuria	59	6.9%
PCN Dislodgment	16	1.9%
Tube Blockage	15	1.8%
Other	-	-

Table 5: Associations Between Complications and Variables

Variable	Complication	p-value
Age	Macroscopic Hematuria, PCN Dislodgment, Tube Blockage	<0.05
Gender	Macroscopic Hematuria, PCN Dislodgment, Tube Blockage	<0.05
Number of Tries	Macroscopic Hematuria, PCN Dislodgment, Tube Blockage	<0.05

DISCUSSION:

The findings of this examination shed light on the challenges and effects associated with ultrasound-guided percutaneous nephrostomy (PCN) in adults with obstructive uropathy^{10,11}. The excessive success rate of PCN installation, reported at 96.1%, aligns with previous research highlighting the efficacy of this minimally invasive procedure in relieving urinary obstruction^{12,13}. However, the occurrence of headaches in Nine of the instances underscore the importance of knowledge and mitigating potential risks. Macroscopic hematuria emerged as the maximum common worry, affecting 6.9% of patients^{14,15}. While this difficulty is identified in the literature, its frequency emphasizes the need for careful tracking and post-procedural control¹⁶. PCN dislodgment and tube blockage, albeit less frequent, gift big challenges and underscore the significance of proper catheter care and affected person schooling¹⁷. The examiner's evaluation of associations between headaches and affected person variables found noteworthy findings. Age and gender were identified as widespread factors influencing the prevalence of headaches. This aligns with previous studies suggesting that older age and male gender may be associated with better difficulty charges in PCN methods¹⁸. The Symptoms for PCN replicate the numerous

etiology of obstructive uropathy, with urinary stones being the maximum, not unusual, indication. This locating underscores the importance of PCN as a versatile intervention for numerous etiologies of urinary obstruction, inclusive of malignancy, pyonephrosis, and ureteric injury¹⁹.

CONCLUSION

According to this study, the most common cause of obstructive uropathy is urinary stones. In situations of obstructive uropathy, percutaneous nephrostomy (PCN) is a relatively rapid, safe, and easy method for temporary urine diversion. This method yields fewer minor and severe issues and has a high success rate.

Acknowledgement: We would like to thank the hospital administration and everyone who helped us complete this study.

Disclaimer: Nil

Conflict of Interest: There is no conflict of interest.

Funding Disclosure: Nil

REFERENCES

- (1) Roth KS, Koo HP, Spottswood SE, Chan JC. Obstructive Uropathy: An Important Cause of Chronic Renal Failure in Children. *Clinical Pediatrics*. 2002;41(5):309-314. Doi:10.1177/000992280204100503
- (2) Siddiqui MM, McDougal WS. Urologic assessment of decreasing renal function. *Med Clin North Am*. 2011 Jan;95(1):161-8. Doi: 10.1016/j.mcna.2010.08.031. PMID: 21095419.
- (3) Dagli M, Ramchandani P. Percutaneous nephrostomy: technical aspects and indications. *Semin Intervent Radiol*. 2011 Dec;28(4):424-37. Doi: 10.1055/s-0031-1296085. PMID: 23204641;PMCID: PMC3312169.
- (4) GOODWIN WE, CASEY WC, WOOLF W. Percutaneous trocar (needle) nephrostomy in hydronephrosis. *J Am Med Assoc*. 1955;157(11):891-894. Doi:10.1001/jama.1955.02950280015005
- (5) Beiko D, Razvi H, Bhojani N, et al. Techniques – Ultrasound-guided percutaneous nephrolithotomy: How we do it. *Can Urol Assoc J*. 2020;14(3): E104- E110. Doi:10.5489/cuaj.6076 Yang YH, Wen YC, Chen KC, Chen C. Ultrasound- guided versus fluoroscopy-guided percutaneous nephrolithotomy: a systematic review and meta- analysis. *World J Urol*. 2019;37(5):777-788. Doi:10.1007/s00345-018-2443-z
- (6) Pappas P, Stravodimos KG, Mitropoulos D, et al. Role of percutaneous urinary diversion in malignant and benign obstructive uropathy. *J Endourol*. 2000;14(5):401-405. Doi:10.1089/end.2000.14.401
- (7) Pabon-Ramos WM, Dariushnia SR, Walker TG, Janne d’Othée B, Ganguli S, Midia M, et al. Quality Improvement Guidelines for percutaneous nephrostomy. *Journal of Vascular and Interventional Radiology*. 2016;27(3):410–4. Doi:10.1016/j.jvir.2015.11.045
- (8) Harris MS, Hussain SA, Islam F. An evaluation of complications of ultrasound-guided percutaneous nephrostomy in cases of obstructive uropathy. *Pakistan Journal of Medical and Health Sciences*. 2023;17(1):530–2. Doi:10.53350/pjmhs2023171530
- (9) Ali SM, Mehmood K, Faiq SM, Ali B, Naqvi SA, Rizvi AU. Frequency of complications in image-guided percutaneous nephrostomy. *J Pak Med Assoc*. 2013;63(7):816-820.
- (10) Ahmad I, Pansota MS. Comparison between double J (DJ) ureteral stenting and percutaneous nephrostomy (PCN) in obstructive uropathy. *Pakistan Journal of Medical Sciences*. 2013;29(3). Doi:10.12669/pjms.293.3563
- (11) Iftikhar Ahmad, Mudassar Saeed Pansota, Muhammad Tariq et al. COMPLICATION OF PERCUTANEOUS NEPHROSTOMY (PCN) IN UPPER OBSTRUCTIVE UROPATHY: OUR EXPERIENCE. *J. Univ. Med. Dent. Coll.* [Internet]. 2014 Jun. 3 23 Dec. 17;5(1):55-0. <https://jumdc.com/index.php/jumdc/article/view/275>
- (12) Farooq K, Ahmad B, Shahab M. FREQUENCY OF COMMON COMPLICATIONS IN PATIENTS AFTER PERCUTANEOUS NEPHROSTOMY FOR OBSTRUCTIVE UROPATHY. *KHYBER JOURNAL OF MEDICAL SCIENCES*. 2016;09(01):72 Doi: <https://kjms.com.pk/old/content/frequency-common-complications-patients-after-percutaneous-nephrostomy-obstructive-uropathy>
- (13) Pedersen JF. Percutaneous Nephrostomy Guided by Ultrasound. *Journal of Urology*. 1974 Aug;112(2):157-9. doi.org/10.1016/S0022-5347(17)59669-X
- (14) Efesoy O, Saylam B, Bozlu M, Çayan S, Akbay E. The results of ultrasound-guided percutaneous nephrostomy tube placement for obstructive Uropathy: A single-centre 10-year experience. *Turk J Urol*. 2018;44(4):329-334. D:10.5152/tud.2018.25205
- (15) Montvilas P, Solvig J, Johansen TEB. Single-centre review of radiologically guided percutaneous nephrostomy using “mixed” technique: Success and complication rates. *European Journal of Radiology*. 2011 Nov;80(2):553-8.
- (16) Naeem M, Jan MA, Ullah A, Ali L, Khan S, Haq A ul, Ahmad S, Rehman A you're. PERCUTANEOUS NEPHROSTOMY FOR THE RELIEF OF UPPER URINARY TRACT OBSTRUCTION: AN EXPERIENCE WITH 200 CASES. *J Postgrad Med Inst* [Internet]. 2011 October 13 [cited 2024 January 4];24(2). https://jpmi.org.pk/index.php/jpmi/article/view/10_56
- (17) Saeed K, Qureshi F, Hussain I, Tariq M. Frequency of complications of percutaneous nephrostomy in upper obstructive uropathy. *Sepsis*. 2016;1:0-51.
- (18) New FJ, Deverill SJ, Somani BK. Outcomes Related to Percutaneous Nephrostomies (PCN) in Malignancy-Associated Ureteric Obstruction: A Systematic Review of the Literature. *J Clin Med*. 2021 May 27;10(11):2354. Doi: 10.3390/jcm10112354. PMID: 34072127; PMCID: PMC8198686



Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article’s Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article’s Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit: <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>. © The Author(s) 2023